

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

4			<u>~</u>	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	
APPLICATION NO. FI		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR &	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
1 09	/970,076	10/03/2001	John A.T. Young	960296.97745	9060
B	7590 05/29/2002 Bennett J. Berson		EXAMI	ER	
‡ Q	Quarles & Brady LLP 1 South Pinckney Street			MINNIFIELD, NITA M	
Į P	O Box 2113 Iadison, WI		r apper	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1	iudison, ** i	73701 2113		1645	01
4			,	DATE MAILED: 05/29/2002	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/970,076	YOUNG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	N. M. Minnifield	1645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	—· s action is non-final.					
		recognition as to the marits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accept						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-4, drawn to a polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass
 350.
- II. Claims 5-13 and 19-21, drawn to a polynucleotide, classified in class536, subclass 23.1.
- III. Claim 14, drawn to method of making an antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- IV. Claims 15, drawn to a method of identifying an agent, classified in class 436, subclass 518.
- V. Claims 16 and 17, drawn to a method of treating anthrax, classified in class 424 subclass 246.1; class 514, subclass 2.
- VI. Claim 12 drawn to a cultured cell, classified in class 435, subclass 252.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Groups I, II and VI are drawn to different products. The claims of Group I are drawn to a protein or polypeptides, and those of Group II are drawn to DNA or polynucleotides. The claim of Group VI is drawn to cultured cells. The inventions can be shown to be distinct because they are made by different methods and because they are physically and functionally distinct chemical entities.

Inventions I/II/VI and III/IV/V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be

Application/Control Number: 09/970,076

Art Unit: 1645

shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the products (polypeptide) can be used to immunoaffinity chromatography procedures and methods of diagnosing anthrax infection, the products (polynucleotide) can be used in the process of making more polypeptide, DNA vaccines/gene therapy, diagnostic probes or primers. The cultured cells can be used in the process of making more cells or the process of attenuating the cultured cell for use in methods of administration to animals. Other products, such as antibiotics or antibodies, can be used in the method of treating anthrax.

Inventions III/IV/V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the inventions are different; the three different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions as well as different effects. For example, the steps and end result for making an antibody are different than a method of treating anthrax.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one Group is not required for another Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/970,076

Art Unit: 1645

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: please see the claims of Inventions I-V.

Applicants must elect one SEQ ID NO if any one of Inventions I-V is elected.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809:02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Art Unit: 1645

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. M. Minnifield whose telephone number is 703-305-3394. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette R.F. Smith can be reached on 703-308-3909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196

A.U.1045